



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/734,310

12/12/2003

Leonard D. Rarick

SUNMP349

1691

32291

7590

11/14/2008

MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP  
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE  
SUITE 200  
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085

EXAMINER

WANG, HARRIS C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2439

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/14/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/734,310	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RARICK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HARRIS C. WANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2439	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 August 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,7-10,12,14 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,7-10,12,14 and 18-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12, 14, 18-21 are pending.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12, 14, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1 and 14 have been amended to include “wherein the four to two compressor has a vector length independent propagation delay of less than four XOR gates.” The Examiner could not find any support detailing anything regarding “propagation delay” or “four XOR” gates. The Applicant has not provided any support other than “no new matter has been added as a result of these amendments as they were supported elsewhere in the specification, claims and drawings as originally filed (Remarks pg. 8).”

Claims 2-4, 7-10, 12, 18-21 are dependent on the above claims and are rejected for the same rationale.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12, 14, 18-20-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zakiya in view of Qi further in view of Oklobdzija.

Regarding Claim 1, 7, 10, 19

Zakiya teaches a cryptographic algorithm unit comprising:

A first cryptographic hash execution module;

A second cryptographic hash execution module, wherein the first cryptographic execution module and the second cryptographic execution module share a plurality of components to form a combination cryptographic execution module unit, wherein the combination cryptographic algorithm unit being capable of performing an MD5 hash algorithm and a SHA1 hash algorithm or at least one of the group of cryptographic hash algorithms consisting of a SHA 256, a SHA 384 and a SHA 512 hash algorithm (Figure 10 is a block diagram of a multi-hash structure to implement both MD5 and SHA1, the Examiner interprets the module for performing MD5 as the first cryptographic hash execution module, and the module for performing SHA1 as the second cryptographic hash execution module. Figure 10 shows the structure sharing a plurality of components. Paragraph [0045] describes the combination structure in detail) (Figure 6-7 of Zakiya teach the use of "SHA-256/384/512.")

the combination cryptographic algorithm unit including:

A first summing circuit, the first summing circuit being a four input summing circuit with a single first summing circuit output. (Figure 9 shows a four input summing circuit with a single first summing circuit output. The Examiner interprets the first input as (Figure 9, 901, "A"). The Examiner interprets the second input as (Figure 9, 906, "hi"). The Examiner interprets the third and fourth input as (Figure 9, 907, "Wki") which is the combination of the W and Ki inputs ("Wki 907 ( $W_i + K_i$ ) sum for the round" paragraph [0044]) For clarification, the input A would correspond with the Applicant's "A" in figure 7, "hi" corresponds to the Applicants "functions of B,C,D" and "Wki" correspond to the Applicant's X and Y)

A second summing circuit, the second summing circuit being

Wherein a first input to the second summing circuit is coupled to the first summing circuit output, wherein the first summing circuit output is coupled to the first input to the second summing circuit through a rotate circuit during an MD5 hash algorithm; (*Figure 9, 943, shows the second summing circuit. Where the first summing circuit output is coupled to the first input to the second summing circuit through a rotate circuit during an MD5 hash, 960*)

Wherein a SHA1 chaining variable is coupled to the second input to the second summing circuit during a SHA1 hash algorithm and wherein an MD5 chaining variable is coupled to the second input to the second summing circuit during an MD5 hash algorithm. (*Figure 9 shows a SHA1 chaining variable and a MD5 chaining variable connected to the second input of the second summing circuit, 905, where B and E are the chaining variables entered into the second summing circuit 943*)

Zakiya does not explicitly teach wherein the first summing circuit includes a four to two compressor and a first carry look-ahead adder wherein the four to two compressor is a two output device and the two outputs are coupled to each of two inputs to the first carry look-ahead adder, the first carry look-ahead adder having the first summing circuit output. Zakiya does not explicitly teach wherein the second summing circuit is a second two input carry look ahead adder.

Qi teaches using a 4 to 2 compressor input into a Carry Look-ahead Adder (*Figure 9B, add4to1*). Although the figure says it is a "4 to 1 adder," Qi takes 4 inputs and compresses it into 2, **C** and **D**, before inputting to a CLA which then outputs one output. This is similar to the Applicant's Figure 7, where 4 inputs are compressed into 2, before

Art Unit: 2439

inputting into a CLA which then outputs one output. Qi teaches that CLAs are generally composed of full adders (Paragraph [0048]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute the first and second adders of Zakiya with the 4 to 2 compressor and CLA adder as described by Qi.

The references are combinable because both Zakiya and Qi are directed to SHA and MD5 hash functions (as seen on Figure 1 of Qi and the already cited portions of Zakiya). The motivation for combining can be found in Paragraph [0058] of Qi ("*A CLA is designed to reduce the carry propagation delay*").

Zakiya and Qi do not explicitly teach wherein the four to two compressor has a vector length independent propagation delay of less than four XOR gates

Oklobdzija teaches "If we were to construct a 4:2 compressor by simply stacking two Full Adders together, as done by Santoro the critical path of such a counter would be equal to four equivalent XOR delays. The researches from Toshiba have simply redesigned the entire 4:2 compressor and treated it as a single cell. Their design resulted in three equivalent XOR delays (pg. 297)."

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute the compressor of the prior art with a 4:2 compressor with a propagation delay of less than four XOR gates as taught by Oklobdzija .

The motivation is to have "25% speed improvement" (pg. 297 of Oklobdzija).

Art Unit: 2439

Regarding Claims 2-4, 20

Zakiya , Qi and Okobdzija teach the cryptographic algorithm unit of claims 1 and 19, wherein the combination crypto algorithm unit includes a plurality of multiplexers wherein the multiplexers provide cryptographic hash algorithm selection control. *(Figure 8, shows a multiplexer, figure 9, 935, shows another multiplexer.)* Wherein the cryptographic hash algorithm selection control allows the selection of a first subset of the plurality of components, wherein the selected first subset of the plurality of components can execute a first cryptographic algorithm. *("A multiplexer 935 Selects B or E to be added at 943. the elements 930, 950, and 960 represent the logic to perform the necessary rotate operations for each hash" Paragraph [0044])*

Regarding Claims 7-8, 14, 18

Zakiya , Qi and Okobdzija teach the cryptographic algorithm unit of claim 1. However Zakiya does not explicitly teach wherein the combination cryptographic unit and a microprocessor are on a single integrated circuit die.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it is common to put microprocessors and circuits on a single integrated circuit die, such as a "System-on-a-chip".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to put a circuit taught by Zakiya and Qi and a microprocessor on a single integrated circuit die.



The motivation is to save space.

Regarding Claim 12,

Zakiya , Qi and Okobdzija teach the cryptographic algorithm unit of claim 1. Zakiya teaches that the cryptographic algorithm unit includes a plurality of compressors.

The Examiner interprets a compressor as any circuit unit that receives multiple inputs and compresses them into fewer outputs. Therefore the Examiner interprets each adder, which takes in two inputs and outputting one output as a separate compressor. Therefore Figure 9 shows a plurality of compressors.

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2439

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HARRIS C. WANG whose telephone number is (571)270-1462. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KAMBIZ ZAND can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harris C Wang/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2439

/Kambiz Zand/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434